

Basic Details

Publish Date

16 February 2026

Case ID#

3352

Title

Sluice Gate Failure at Flood Storage Reservoir – Emergency Response and Remedial Actions

Nation

Wales

Regulator Reference No.

78

Legal Status

Statutory

Reservoir Type

Impounding

Reservoir Capacity

100,000 - 499,999m³

Year of Construction

1950 - 1969

Main Construction Type

Earth fill embankment

Dam Height

0 - 1.99 metres

Dam Flood Category

A

Hazard Class

High-risk reservoir

Reservoir Use

- Flood risk management

Owner Type

Public body

Incident Details

Date & Time of Incident

06 November 2025 - 12:00

Date Incident Closed

07 November 2026

Observations that Caused the Incident to be Declared

- Unexpected leakage or seepage from a known leakage point
- Water flowing outside of engineered channels

Describe the Incident

Undertaker was notified of an ingress of water on land upstream of the main embankments. On inspection it was apparent that a tidal sluice gate had detached completely allowing an ingress of water onto fields behind the embankments during high tides. While the sluice gate did not directly release water, the 2016 Regulations (Wales) require reporting where an incident 'could result' in an uncontrolled release and where emergency measures are taken to prevent escalation.

Supporting Photos

-
-

Causes and Impacts

Natural Processes which Initiated or Contributed to the Incident

- Other natural process (describe below)

Main Contributing Factors to the Incident Occurring

Dam Factors

- Failure or damage to gates / valves
- Other dam factors (describe below)

External Factors

- Other external factors (describe below)

Shortcomings

- Maintenance shortcoming

Root Cause of the Incident

Corrosion and wear of sluice gate bolts over decades, leading to detachment during high tide.

Impacts on the Reservoir

- Other (describe below)

Supporting Photos

No images provided.

Supporting Contributions and Studies

Human Factors which Influenced the Incident

Lack of clear responsibility and governance; delays caused by alignment with local strategy and funding constraints; previous defects recorded but not addressed promptly.

Instrumentation at the Reservoir

None: Bi-annual asset inspections and monthly checks by undertaker; statutory Section 10 and Section 12 reports by qualified engineers. Visual inspections were regular but did not prevent failure; post-incident improvements include installation of cameras for real-time monitoring.

Was Instrumentation Effective?

Not Applicable

Assistance by External Parties and Impacts on Downstream Population

Engineering support from SE, ARPE, and contractors; no significant downstream flooding; low likelihood of wider impacts.

Summary of Studies or Investigations Undertaken

Site investigations and asset inspections; no major hydraulic or geotechnical studies reported.

Supporting Photos

No images provided.

Lessons Learnt

Lesson 1

Need for timely intervention and clear governance; undertaker posed questions regarding proportionate categorisation of incidents under Section 21B; explore cost-effective interim solutions rather than waiting for strategic schemes.

Lesson 2

Lesson 3

Lesson 4

Closing Comments

In this case, the structural failure compromised the reservoir's ability to manage water safely, and under adverse conditions (high tide combined with flood inflows), there was a credible risk of uncontrolled water movement. Emergency actions were implemented promptly, which aligns with the intent of Section 21B.

Supporting Photos

No images provided.

Information provided has been sent from reservoir owners and engineers, and cleansed of personal information by the enforcement authority. We cannot guarantee the accuracy of the data, but if you find an error please contact the relevant enforcement authority.